UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,573	03/31/2004	Dan Gealy	108298765US	6920
25096 PERKINS COI	7590 09/22/200 E LLP	EXAMINER		
PATENT-SEA	,	BUSHEY, CHARLES S		
P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/814,573	GEALY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Scott Bushey	1797		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation She 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,9,10,14,15,19-21,26,27,29,30,33 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5,6,11,16,23,34 and 44 is/are objected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	<u>eet</u> is/are withdrawn from conside <u>2,33,37-39 and 41-43</u> is/are reject d to. r election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access and applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the confidence	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-13-05,3-28-08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 3,7,8,12,13,17,18,22,24,25,28,31,35,36,40,45 and 46.

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species E, Figs. 8A and 8B in the reply filed on June 30, 2008 is acknowledged.

In accordance with applicant's election, claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9-11, 14-16, 19-21, 23, 26, 27, 29, 30, 32-34, 37-39, and 41-44 have been examined on the merits herein, while claims 3, 7, 8, 12, 13, 17, 18, 22, 24, 25, 28, 31, 35, 36, 40, 45, and 46 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a non-elected species of the invention. It is also noted that claims 47-66 have been cancelled by applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 9, 14, 26, 27, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Johnston et al (Figs. 1 and 2; col. 3, lines 52-63).

Applicant should note that the gas inlet (9) anticipates applicant's carrier gas conduit, while the tubes (15) anticipate the lift tubes of the application, the apertures (25) within the tubes (15) providing aspiration of liquid (precursor) into the tubes to mix with the gas passing therethrough, the tubes opening at their outlet ends within a headspace (109) above the liquid (17) region of the vessel, and the headspace delivering the mixture through a gas delivery line (107) from the headspace.

Art Unit: 1797

Applicant should also note that the materials worked on by a known apparatus or the intended use of a known apparatus cannot be considered to lend patentable weight to the apparatus claims.

4. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hattori (Fig. 7; col. 9, lines 40-57).

Applicant should note that the materials worked on by a known apparatus or the intended use of a known apparatus cannot be considered to lend patentable weight to the apparatus claims.

5. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Patterson et al (Fig. 10).

Applicant should note that the materials worked on by a known apparatus or the intended use of a known apparatus cannot be considered to lend patentable weight to the apparatus claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Art Unit: 1797

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 32, and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnston et al taken together with the admitted prior art.

Johnston et al (Figs. 1 and 2; col. 3, lines 52-63) as applied above substantially disclose applicant's invention as recited by instant claims 32, and 37-39, except for the gas delivery line from the apparatus being connected to a gas phase reaction chamber.

Applicant admits at paragraph [0007] of the instant application that it is well known within the art to connect a gas delivery line of a gas/liquid contacting ampoule to a gas phase reaction chamber of a film deposition apparatus. It view of the admitted prior art, it would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to connect the gas/liquid delivery line of Johnston et al to a gas phase reaction chamber, if it were desired to utilize the gas/liquid product stream from the Johnston et al apparatus for providing a film on a substrate in a film deposition processing apparatus.

9. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hattori taken together with the admitted prior art.

Hattori (Fig. 7; col. 9, lines 40-57) as applied above substantially disclose applicant's invention as recited by instant claim 42, except for the gas delivery line from the apparatus being connected to a gas phase reaction chamber. Applicant should note

Art Unit: 1797

that the element (73) of Hattori anticipates applicant's precursor exposure assembly, as broadly recited by instant claim 42.

Applicant admits at paragraph [0007] of the instant application that it is well known within the art to connect a gas delivery line of a gas/liquid contacting ampoule to a gas phase reaction chamber of a film deposition apparatus. It view of the admitted prior art, it would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to connect the gas/liquid delivery line of Hattori to a gas phase reaction chamber, if it were desired to utilize the gas/liquid product stream from the Hattori apparatus for providing a film on a substrate in a film deposition processing apparatus.

10. Claims 4, 10, 15, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnston et al taken together with Hattori.

Both references have been applied above and each alternatively disclose means for contacting a precursor liquid with a carrier gas stream to form a gas/liquid mixture stream for downstream utilization. Hattori additionally includes a precursor exposure assembly (73) within the headspace of the contact vessel. It would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to modify the apparatus as taught by Johnston et al, to include a precursor exposure assembly within the headspace of the contact vessel, in view of Hattori, since such would insure a more complete contact between the phases by increasing the residence time of the phases within the contact vessel prior to exit through the gas delivery outlet.

Art Unit: 1797

11. Claims 33, 41, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference combination as applied to claims 32, and 37-39 in paragraph 8 above, and further in view of Hattori.

The primary reference combination as applied above suggests means for contacting a precursor liquid with a carrier gas stream to form a gas/liquid mixture stream for downstream utilization. Hattori additionally includes a precursor exposure assembly (73) within the headspace of the contact vessel. It would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to modify the apparatus as suggested by the primary reference combination, as applied to claims 32, and 37-39 in paragraph 8 above, to include a precursor exposure assembly within the headspace of the contact vessel, in view of Hattori, since such would insure a more complete contact between the phases by increasing the residence time of the phases within the contact vessel prior to exit through the gas delivery outlet.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 5, 6, 11, 16, 23, 34, and 44 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest the precursor exposure assembly being in the form of a plurality of trays and positioned at least partially within the headspace of the vessel to increase the contact surface area between the precursor and carrier gas.

Art Unit: 1797

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is 571 272-

1153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

/S. B./ 9-18-08

> /Scott Bushey/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Application/Control Number: 10/814,573

Page 8

Art Unit: 1797